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Women’s Education and Fertility Transition in Sub-Saharan Africa
David Shapiro

Abstract

Sub-Saharan Africa was the last major part of the developing world to initiate fertility decline, and fertility in the region remains high compared to fertility in Asia and Latin America. Women’s education is correspondingly comparatively low in the region. Women’s education has long been known to be an important factor related to fertility via multiple pathways. Numerous studies have documented the importance of increasing women’s education as a key variable contributing to fertility decline in the developing world. This paper uses aggregated data to examine the role of increasing women’s educational attainment in the ongoing fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa, in conjunction with other socioeconomic changes such as declining infant and child mortality and changes in economic well-being. In addition, detailed patterns of fertility differences by educational attainment are also examined across countries using individual-level data, highlighting the significant role in fertility transition of increasing secondary and higher education.
Introduction

Sub-Saharan Africa was the last major part of the developing world to initiate fertility decline, and fertility in the region remains quite high compared to fertility in Asia and Latin America (United Nations, 2011). In addition, fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa has been characterized by stalling in a number of countries, to a greater degree than that observed elsewhere in the Third World (Bongaarts, 2005, 2008; Westoff and Cross, 2005; Shapiro and Gebresellassie, 2010; Shapiro et al., forthcoming).

Women’s education has long been known to be an important factor related to fertility via multiple pathways (Cochrane, 1979), from delaying the onset of childbearing to reducing the desired number of children to more effective control of fertility, among other aspects. Bongaarts (2010) has recently examined the role of education in fertility differences within sub-Saharan Africa, with particular emphasis on educational differences in desired family size as well as use and effectiveness of contraception, among other factors.

Numerous studies have documented the importance of increasing women’s education as a key variable contributing to fertility decline in the developing world (see, for example, Jejeebhoy, 1995; Rutstein, 2002; Bongaarts, 2008, 2010; Shapiro and Gebresellassie, 2008, 2010; Shapiro et al., forthcoming). And while over the long haul women’s educational attainment has been increasing in sub-Saharan Africa as it has done so elsewhere in the Third World (Schultz, 1993), compared to Asia and Latin America, Africa shows distinctly lower levels of women’s schooling (United Nations, 2010).

This paper seeks to add to the literature on fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa. That literature includes excellent overview studies by Cohen (1998) and Garenne and Joseph (2002). The latter in particular emphasizes the importance of analyzing rural and urban populations
separately, a theme in Shapiro and Tambashe (2002) as well. Here, however, the emphasis is on women’s education as a key factor in the initiation and progress of fertility transition in the region.

The paper examines the contribution of increasing women’s education to the ongoing fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa, utilizing multiple approaches. The first substantive section of the paper provides a descriptive overview of fertility transition in the developing world so as to put fertility in sub-Saharan Africa in a comparative perspective. Fertility transition within the different sub-regions of sub-Saharan Africa is also reviewed. Similarly, comparative data on women’s education in sub-Saharan Africa, Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean are also provided. Current and recent data on women’s education and fertility at the national level within sub-Saharan Africa are then presented. The data in this first section, at the regional, sub-regional, and national levels, show very clearly the strong inverse relationship between fertility and women’s education.

The remaining substantive sections of the paper provide multivariate analyses of fertility behavior that explore the importance of education in fertility transition more fully. The first of these two sections uses aggregated data to examine the contribution of increasing women’s educational attainment to the ongoing fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa. This part of the paper also looks at the influence on fertility decline of other socioeconomic changes such as declining infant and child mortality and changes in overall economic well-being, as measured by growth in real GDP per capita (as in Shapiro and Gebreselassie, 2008, 2010, and Shapiro et al., forthcoming).

The data analyzed are from the Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS). The analyses of aggregated data examine data at three levels for each country with at least two DHS surveys:
national, urban-rural, and regional (regions within each country). This essentially entails an updating of the analyses in Shapiro and Gebreselassie (2008, 2010), for which the most recent data were from 2007. Data are now available up through 2010, and include surveys where previous fertility stalls appear to have reversed (e.g., Ghana and Kenya).

In addition, following up and expanding on recent work by Frankenfield (2011), the concluding substantive section of the paper analyzes individual-level DHS data from numerous sub-Saharan countries to determine patterns of fertility differences by educational attainment. We also look at whether these patterns vary according to the general level of fertility, so as to assess the degree to which these differences change systematically as fertility transition unfolds.

Further, following the approach in Shapiro and Tambashe (2003), these micro-level analyses differentiate educational attainment more finely than the typical “none-primary-secondary-and-higher” trichotomy found in DHS reports and the Measure DHS STATcompiler and often used in examining women’s educational attainment and fertility. In particular, this finer differentiation, which disaggregates what for the most part is the secondary-and-higher group, allows one to see that fertility differences by educational attainment tend to widen as one moves from lower-level to upper-level secondary schooling and again to post-secondary education. This widening is often substantial, and it has important implications for the future of fertility transition in the region – implications that are masked by use of the trichotomy noted above.

The theoretical framework that underlies the approach in the paper is the Easterlin framework for fertility analysis (Easterlin, 1975; Easterlin and Crimmins, 1985). This flexible approach with an economic base that is at the same time oriented to accommodating perspectives from other disciplines is the theoretical focus that was used early on by the National Academy of
Sciences in a major study of determinants of fertility in developing countries (Bulatao et al., 1983). It underlies my own work on fertility and fertility transition going back two decades, as well as work by many other scholars.

The final section of the paper summarizes the empirical findings and discusses their implications for the future of fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa.

**Fertility Transition and Women’s Education in the Developing World**

As a starting point, it is useful to briefly review fertility transition in the developing world. Figure 1 shows United Nations (2011) estimates and projections of total fertility rates (TFRs) for sub-Saharan Africa, Northern Africa, Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean, for the period from 1950-2050. The onset of fertility transition in the developing world during the second half of the 20th century is evident, as is the fact that fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa did not emerge until well after its onset elsewhere in the Third World. As a consequence of this late start, it is not surprising to note that the estimated TFRs for 2010-2015 are between 2 and 3 for Northern Africa, Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean, as compared to an estimate that is not much below 5 for sub-Saharan Africa.

Estimated fertility rates from the United Nations for sub-Saharan Africa and its component sub-regions are shown in Figure 2. Southern Africa, dominated by South Africa, stands out as the one sub-region where fertility decline began early, and where fertility has fallen to levels comparable to those that prevail elsewhere in the developing world. The other three sub-regions manifest the later onset of fertility decline evident for the region as a whole, with Middle Africa showing the highest fertility for most of the past 25 years. With respect to these fertility differences by sub-region, it is worth noting that various measures of women’s
education, such as primary and secondary enrollment rates and the percentage of adult women who are illiterate, indicate that Southern Africa has the highest women’s education and Middle Africa has the lowest (United Nations, 2010, ch. 3).

More broadly, recent data from the United Nations (2010, Fig. 3.6) on women’s educational attainment for those aged 25 and over are shown in Figure 3 for sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC). The comparatively low schooling of women in sub-Saharan Africa is clear: more than 40 percent of adult African women have no schooling, compared to fewer than 20 percent of women in Asia and just over 10 percent of those in LAC. Conversely, while 35 percent of women in Asia and LAC have been to secondary school, the corresponding figure is only about half that percentage among African women, and likewise, exposure to tertiary education is very low among women from sub-Saharan Africa and substantially higher among women from the other two regions.

At this highly aggregated level of major regions, then, it is clear that there is a broad negative association between women’s educational attainment and their fertility. This inverse relationship is apparent as well if we confine our examination to individual countries within sub-Saharan Africa. Figure 4 is a scatter plot of national-level DHS data on fertility and women’s education from the most recent surveys in each of 28 sub-Saharan countries (limited to surveys carried out in 2000 and later). There is considerable variation in both fertility and women’s educational attainment: the (unweighted) average TFR is just under 5.3, with a range from 3.3 (Lesotho) to 7.0 (Niger), and the average number of years of schooling of women of reproductive age equals 4.6, with even greater variation than in the case of fertility -- a range from just under 1 (Niger) to 8.4 (Namibia).
The inverse relationship between these two variables is apparent from the graph. In addition, a simple regression of the TFR on the average number of years of schooling yields a highly significant negative coefficient for schooling of nearly -0.3, and given the strong negative correlation between these two variables in excess of 0.7 in absolute value, this variable alone accounts for just over half of the variation across countries in the total fertility rate.  

Whether we consider highly aggregated data at the level of major world regions or country-specific data, then, examination of the relationships between women’s education and fertility shows strong negative associations. The following section moves from this examination of levels of fertility and education at a point in time to an analysis of within-country changes in fertility over time, exploiting the wealth of data and multiple surveys of individual countries that have been carried out since the mid-1980s by the Demographic and Health Surveys program.

Education and Other Factors Influencing Fertility Declines

There are 25 countries in sub-Saharan Africa that have data available for multiple Demographic and Health Surveys, and the analyses in this section examine the declines in fertility between consecutive surveys in these countries, relating these declines to changes in women’s educational attainment and changes in other factors that are presumed to influence the

\[\text{1 There is a clear tendency for the countries at the high end of women’s schooling to have especially low fertility (below the regression line), and the four countries that fit this description are Lesotho, Namibia, Swaziland, and Zimbabwe – three from Southern Africa and Zimbabwe from southern East Africa. Indeed, a simple regression substituting average schooling squared for average schooling yields a highly significant negative coefficient and has somewhat greater explanatory power than the simple linear version reported above, suggesting that fertility decline in the region accelerates with increased educational attainment.}\]

\[\text{2 For detailed information on the DHS Program, see http://www.measuredhs.com/}.\]
pace of fertility decline. We use all available surveys. The countries for which data have been analyzed account for nearly three-quarters of the population of sub-Saharan Africa. This section, then, reports results of analyses of changes in fertility, using pairs of consecutive DHS surveys as the unit of analysis. These analyses of aggregated data regress declines in fertility from one DHS survey to the next on changes between the two surveys in several variables that both the Easterlin framework for fertility analysis and extensive prior empirical work on fertility transition suggest should be relevant to fertility decline.

More specifically, fertility declines between surveys are regressed on changes in the educational attainment of women, in the infant and child mortality rate, in real GDP per capita, and in use of modern contraception, as well as on a time trend. For this analysis, then, we use first differences between pairs of consecutive cross-sectional data points from surveys in each country. The differencing eliminates time-constant effects from the estimated model. The empirical model treats unobserved differences between countries as a set of fixed parameters that can be partialed out of the estimating equations. Furthermore, the regression model with first-differenced data explicitly considers how changes in the explanatory variables over time affect the change in the dependent variable over time.

There is an econometric issue that arises in these analyses, however. Since countries with three or more DHSs will have more than one observation (i.e., there will be two pairs of surveys for countries with three DHSs, three pairs of surveys for countries with four DHSs, etc.), these

---

3 There is one exception here: the 1999 DHS for Nigeria. Concerns about data reliability regarding measurement of fertility prompted us to exclude results from that survey. See Ibisomi (2007) for analysis of problems with the Nigeria 1999 DHS fertility data.

4 For example, Benin, with surveys in 1996, 2001, and 2006, contributes two observations to our analyses here – one pertaining to changes between 1996 and 2001, and the second examining the changes between 2001 and 2006. In total, there are 55 pairs of consecutive surveys available for the 25 countries covered by these surveys.
data are not independent and there may be correlated observations. The Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) method is a technique that can be used to account for the lack of independence among observations in generalized linear regression models (Liang and Zeger, 1986; Zeger and Liang, 1986; Diggle et al., 1994). Hence, we use the GEE method for the analyses in this part of the paper.

As noted earlier, we have used this approach in previous analyses of fertility transitions, both in sub-Saharan Africa (Shapiro and Gebreselassie, 2008, 2010) and in the developing world more broadly (Shapiro et al., forthcoming). As in the earlier analyses, we report results for three different levels of analysis: national data, data separately for urban and rural places, and regional-level data (for regions within each country).

Table 1 reports the results of these analyses. Consider the first column of estimated coefficients, for national-level data. We have two explanatory variables measuring the changes between surveys in the educational attainment of women of reproductive age: one showing the increase in the percentage of women with no schooling, and the other showing the increase in the percentage of women with secondary or higher education. Given the secular increase in women’s education, the increase in the percentage of women with no schooling is typically negative (the mean of this variable is -5.1), while the increase in the percentage of women with secondary or higher education is usually positive (the mean value is +5.0). The significant negative coefficient of the first of these variables tells us that those countries that experience larger declines in the percentage of women with no schooling tend to experience more rapid declines in the national total fertility rate. Likewise, the significant positive coefficient for the variable measuring the increase in the percentage of women with secondary or higher education indicates that countries with more rapid growth in women’s schooling at the upper end of the
schooling distribution experience larger declines in their total fertility rate, other things equal. The national-level data thus indicate that more rapid progress in increasing women’s schooling indeed contributes to more rapid fertility decline.

In order to get an idea of the potential magnitude of the contribution of changing women’s education to fertility decline in sub-Saharan Africa, it is of interest to consider the implications of comparatively large improvements in women’s education for fertility decline. For the entire sample, the mean value of the decline in the TFR between successive surveys is 0.349. The coefficients in the first column of Table 1 imply, however, that if a country were able to realize a decline in the percentage of women with no schooling that is one standard deviation bigger than the average decrease (representing a decline of 9.8 percentage points rather than 5.1), this would reduce the TFR by almost 0.16, other things equal. Correspondingly, if secondary and higher education were to increase by one standard deviation above the mean (i.e., by 11.6 percentage points rather than 5.0 points), the implied additional decline in the TFR would exceed 0.09, other things equal. The two changes together imply an additional reduction in the TFR of essentially 0.25, representing more than 70 percent of the observed average TFR decline between surveys. Clearly, then, these results suggest that more rapid increases in women’s education have the potential to substantially accelerate fertility transition in the region.

Consider now the remaining coefficients in the national-level equation. As we have found previously, changes in infant and child mortality are significantly related to fertility declines. The mortality variable measures increases in infant and child mortality over the period 0-14 years prior to each survey, so given the secular decline in infant and child mortality, the mean of the variable is negative (-19 per thousand). Hence, the negative coefficient of the mortality variable implies that countries experiencing larger declines in mortality over the past
15 years tend as well to manifest larger declines in fertility.\(^5\) If mortality reduction were one standard deviation greater than the mean, the implied fertility decline would be more than 0.13 greater, representing almost 40 percent of the average fertility decline in the data.

Improvements in women’s educational attainment and reductions in infant and child mortality constitute important socioeconomic changes. Likewise, growth in economic well-being is an additional form of socioeconomic change. We have found previously that, contrary to the historical decline of fertility in the West, which was associated with increased levels of economic well-being, fertility decline in both sub-Saharan Africa and in the developing world more broadly appears to be slower when economic growth is more rapid (Shapiro and Gebreselassie, 2010; Shapiro et al., forthcoming). This is consistent with suggestions from the literature that in sub-Saharan Africa, fertility decline may be prompted by economic crisis (see, for example, Lesthaeghe, 1989; National Research Council, 1993; Eloundou-Enyegue et al., 2000). In any case, here, we find a negative and weakly significant coefficient on the variable measuring lagged growth in real GDP per capita as well.

Growth in the use of modern contraception was hypothesized to contribute to more rapid fertility decline, other things equal. However, while the estimated coefficient for this variable was positive, it was not statistically significant at the national level.\(^6\)

---

\(^5\) Given that improved women’s education typically is associated with lower infant and child mortality, inclusion of the mortality variable in the equation tends to bias downward the overall effect of women’s education on fertility. Indeed, if the mortality variable is excluded from the equation, the absolute values of the education coefficients increase a bit. However, reflecting the underlying Easterlin conceptual framework, we have left the mortality variable in this and subsequent equations in order to highlight the importance of declining mortality as a factor contributing directly to fertility transition.

\(^6\) As with reductions in infant and child mortality, increased use of modern contraception is likely to be linked to improvements in women’s educational attainment.
Finally, we also included a time trend variable, as in previous work we had determined that other things equal, fertility declines tended to diminish in magnitude over time (Shapiro and Gebreselassie, 2010; Shapiro et al., forthcoming). The result was a highly significant negative coefficient. One possible explanation for this result can be found in the argument that since fertility declines in the region began from quite high levels, earlier declines will be larger than later ones, and the time trend may be picking up this effect. However, when we added a variable representing the initial level of fertility in the pair of surveys, that variable was not statistically significant, and the size and significance of the coefficient of the time trend variable were essentially unaffected.

All told, the explanatory variables in the first equation in Table 1 are important in accounting for the variation in fertility declines\(^7\), and clearly, declining infant and child mortality as well as increased women’s educational attainment are especially pertinent explanatory factors. As indicated by the brief discussion above concerning the quantitative implications of increasing women’s education, however, this is evidently a key factor in the ongoing process of fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa.

The second column of coefficients reports results of similar analyses, but with two observations for each pair of surveys – one for urban places and the other for rural places. Again, the two education variables are both statistically significantly related to fertility decline. The absolute value of the coefficient for the increase in the percentage of women with no schooling is a bit larger here than for the national-level estimate, while the coefficient for the

---

\(^7\) In an Ordinary Least Squares version of the equation, in which the magnitudes and significance of the coefficients were quite similar to those in the GEE version, the \(R^2\) value approached 0.5 – i.e., the explanatory variables accounted for nearly half of the variation in fertility declines across pairs of surveys.
increase in the percentage of women with secondary or higher schooling is slightly smaller.
Broadly speaking, the magnitudes of the estimated coefficients are reasonably similar to those estimated at the national level.

The change in infant and child mortality is again related to the pace of fertility decline, although the coefficient is only weakly significant and the absolute value of the coefficient is considerably smaller.\(^8\) This second regression does find a significant effect of increased use of modern contraception in contributing to more rapid fertility decline, and as in the previous equation, there is a significant negative coefficient for the time trend variable, with a somewhat larger coefficient in absolute value. There is again a negative coefficient for GDP growth, but it is not statistically significant.

A variant of this second equation was also estimated adding a dummy variable for urban places. That variable had a positive and significant coefficient on the order of 0.2, indicating that other things equal, fertility decline is proceeding at a more rapid pace in urban places than in rural places. Addition of that variable did not alter the significance of any of the other variables, and typically changed their magnitudes only modestly.

The last set of estimates in Table 1 is based on data at the regional level within each country. As was the case at the national and urban/rural levels, both of the education variables are statistically significant, and the magnitudes of the estimated coefficients are pretty similar to those estimated earlier (a bit lower for the variable indicating the change in secondary and higher schooling). Likewise, the mortality variable and the time trend are significantly related to the magnitude of fertility decline, other things equal, as was the case earlier. And GDP growth now

\(^8\) The mortality variable here and for the regional-level estimates is measured using a lag of 0-9 years rather than the 0-14 year lag used for the national level, because of the more limited data provided at the subnational levels.
has a highly significant negative coefficient. By contrast, the contraception variable is not significant.

These analyses of aggregated data, then, show that increased women’s educational attainment, changes in infant and child mortality, and the time trend are the variables that are consistently associated with fertility decline between surveys. There is some evidence that growth in real per capita GDP and increased use of modern contraception also contribute to fertility declines.

In addition, we have seen that as compared to a country with average values of all of the explanatory variables, including our two education measures, a country with more rapid educational progress of women by our two measures would experience substantially larger declines in its TFR. This calculation highlights the importance of improving women’s education as a factor contributing to ongoing fertility transition.

**Cumulative Fertility Differences by Educational Attainment**

In this section we turn from our examination of aggregated data to look at analyses of individual-level data pertaining to education and fertility. The primary objective here is to assess the patterns and magnitudes of fertility differentials by education, using a more detailed classification of educational attainment than is customary. As noted earlier, DHS reports and the Measure DHS STATcompiler ordinarily use a trichotomy to represent women’s education, with women classified either as having no schooling, primary education, or secondary and higher education. However, as reflected in the data presented earlier in Figure 4, DHS data sets for individual female survey respondents provide data on the number of years of schooling, thereby allowing for more detailed characterizations of women’s educational attainment.
In addition, we also seek to determine if there is any pattern of fertility differentials by education in relation to the ongoing process of fertility transition. For example, in some early work with B.O. Tambashe on fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa (Shapiro and Tambashe, 2002), we suggested that in the early stages of fertility transition urban-rural fertility differences would widen, and only narrow as fertility transition advanced considerably. Similarly, it seems plausible to suggest that in the early stages of fertility transition, when women’s education overall is low, those women with high levels of educational attainment are likely to be the innovators who adopt lower fertility first, resulting in wide differentials in fertility between those with high levels of education as compared to women with little or no education. Then, as fertility decline is diffused throughout the population (typically occurring as women’s educational attainment increases), differentials in fertility by educational attainment would be expected eventually to diminish. A similar argument and related evidence are provided by Chackiel and Schkolnik (1996) in their overview of the fertility transition in Latin America.

The data analysis here is based on DHS data from comparatively recent surveys from numerous countries. In particular, for women in each of the 28 countries in sub-Saharan Africa with a most recent DHS in the year 2000 or later, we examine cumulative fertility (the number of children ever born) as a function of women’s schooling and some control variables. Separate equations are estimated for each country. Women’s schooling is represented by a series of dummy variables representing the number of years of education attained by the woman. These dummy variables identify the following groups of women: those with 1-6 years of schooling (for the most part, a primary-school education only), those with 7-8 years of education, women with 9-10 years of schooling, those with 11-12 years of schooling, and women who have 13 or more years of education. The reference category consists of women who did not attend school, so the
estimated coefficient for each group represents the fertility differential between women in that
group and those with no schooling, holding age (and depending on the equation, other control
variables) constant.\textsuperscript{9}

Our different estimates shed light on both the sensitivity of these estimated differences to
different control variables and whether there is any pattern to these differences in relation to a
country’s stage in the fertility transition. We have generated three sets of estimates. In the first
set, we regress the number of children ever born on the woman’s age and age squared (to allow
for nonlinearities), and the dummy variables described above. With children ever born as the
dependent variable, it is imperative to control for age, since in conjunction with a woman’s
schooling it reflects her duration of exposure to the risk of bearing children. The fertility
differentials estimated via the procedure just described show individual-level differences related
to education, holding age constant. As we shall see shortly, these differences tend to widen as
one moves through the groups with seven and more years of education.

A second set of estimates adds simply a dummy variable for urban residence to the first
set. Families in urban places confront a higher net cost of children than families in rural areas
(cf., Becker, 1960), and fertility in urban places is typically lower than in rural places (see
Chackiel and Schkolnik, 1996, for evidence from Latin America and Shapiro and Tambashe,
2002, for evidence from sub-Saharan Africa). At the same time, better-educated women are
more likely to be in urban places than in rural areas. From this perspective, then, the first set of

\textsuperscript{9} For educational systems with six years of primary school and six years of secondary school –
the bulk of educational systems – the 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd}, and 4\textsuperscript{th} groups constitute women with low, middle,
and upper levels of secondary education, and the last group comprises women with post-
secondary education. However, there are some systems that differ slightly, with, for example,
seven years of either primary schooling (e.g., Zimbabwe) or seven years of secondary schooling
(Benin).
estimates will overstate the impact of education on fertility, attributing to education some of the fertility effect of urban residence.

The third set of estimates adds a variable representing the general level of education within the community of residence of each woman. Kravdal (2002) has shown that the individual-level differences that we seek to estimate here are influenced by community levels of education, with higher general levels of education contributing to lower fertility, even after taking account of the individual’s level of education. Consequently, the third set of regressions further adds a variable measuring the average number of years of schooling in the sampled cluster to which each woman belongs.

Table 2 summarizes the results of these analyses, providing the mean values of the estimated coefficients of the education dummy variables for each of the three sets of estimated equations, along with the means of the estimated coefficients for the urban and cluster education variables. The table reveals a clear pattern of increasingly more negative coefficients as one moves through the different schooling groups.

Further, the size of the differentials between adjacent educational groups tends to widen as educational attainment increases. The detailed breakdown of what for the most part is “secondary and higher” into four distinct categories reveals the increasingly strong impact of progressively higher levels of education on fertility. That is, not only do the coefficients become increasingly negative, but the implied fertility differences between adjacent groups widen as the educational level increases. For example, in the first column of average coefficients in the table, the average differential in the number of children ever born between women with 7 or 8 years of schooling and those with 9 or 10 years of schooling is approximately 0.4 children, while comparing the 9-10 group to the 11-12 group shows an average differential of 0.6 children and
between upper-level secondary (11-12) and primarily university women (13 and over) the differential widens further to 0.7 children. This pattern is apparent for the other two sets of estimates as well, albeit with slightly smaller differences.

The smaller differences with the introduction of additional control variables reflect the fact that, looking across the three columns of numbers, the absolute values of the magnitudes of these mean differentials by educational attainment decline as first urban and then mean cluster education are added. The magnitudes of the declines tend to be greater, absolutely, as educational attainment increases. However, in relative terms, the declines are greater for the lower levels of education. Thus, for example, comparison of the third column with the first column shows that adding urban and mean cluster education reduces (in absolute value) the average coefficient for women with 1-6 years of schooling by about 0.18, compared to a reduction of 0.59 for women with 13 or more years of education, but these reductions correspond to percentage changes of roughly 63 percent and 25 percent, respectively.

Examination of the coefficients from the individual country regressions reveals that the general pattern shown by the means in Table 2 may be found in most of the individual cases as well, and these coefficients are typically statistically significant, especially for the groups with 7 or more years of schooling. For example, in the estimates that control only for age and educational attainment, the pattern of increasingly more negative coefficients as educational attainment rises characterizes 25 of the 28 countries. The exceptions, in which there is a positive coefficient for women with 1-6 years of schooling, are Gabon (2000), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (2007), and Uganda (2006). With the exception of Gabon, which has a very small positive coefficient for women with 7 or 8 years of schooling, all coefficients are negative once the second category (7-8) is reached. When urban residence and then community level of
education are taken into account, there are more exceptions in some of the individual country results, with some positive coefficients here and there. However, the general pattern in which greater schooling is linked to lower fertility, other things equal, prevails, particularly beyond what are essentially the primary and low-level secondary educational attainment groups.

The second column of numbers in Table 2 shows that, as suggested above, fertility is lower in urban areas, even after controlling for education and age. While the average value of the implied rural-urban difference in children ever born is in excess of 0.4 children, there is considerable variation in the individual country estimates, with some countries having a rural-urban differential on the order of 0.1 (Chad, DRC, Rwanda) while others have a differential of 0.8 or larger (Nigeria, Ethiopia). When mean cluster education is added (column 3 of the numbers in Table 2), the estimated rural-urban differential is cut in half, while higher community education is indeed associated with somewhat lower fertility, even after controlling for individual-level education.

The final part of our analysis consists of comparing the estimates of fertility differentials by educational attainment among countries at different stages of fertility transition. These comparisons are provided in Table 3. The table essentially disaggregates the results from Table 2, in order to show the magnitudes of the estimated fertility differentials by education in relation to the overall national level of fertility. Hence, panel a of Table 3 shows the averages of the coefficients measuring the fertility differentials for the countries with a TFR greater or equal to 6, between 5 and 5.9, and below 5, respectively, when only educational attainment and age are taken into consideration. (The cutoffs are arbitrary, but designed to illustrate countries at different stages of fertility transition.) It thus is a disaggregation of the results in the first column
of numbers in Table 2. Panels b and c represent disaggregation of the results in the second and third columns of numbers in Table 2, respectively.

Examination of the three panels in Table 3 shows some evidence of narrowing of fertility differentials between essentially university-educated women and those with no schooling as fertility transition proceeds, and particularly in going from the high-fertility group to the medium-fertility group. However, in looking at the other schooling groups it is apparent that there is considerable variation in what happens to the estimated fertility differentials by education as fertility declines, and if anything, there is a greater tendency toward widening of these differentials rather than narrowing as fertility declines. Perhaps fertility transition in the region is not sufficiently advanced to show the narrowing of differentials that we anticipated.

Summary, Conclusions, and the Future of Fertility Transition in Sub-Saharan Africa

This paper has examined women’s education and fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa from multiple perspectives. The descriptive overview following the introduction highlights the delay in initiation of fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa relative to other parts of the developing world, while also documenting the comparatively low levels of educational attainment of adult women in the region. Evidence was also presented indicating that both in the sub-regions of sub-Saharan Africa as well as at the level of individual countries within the region, places where women’s education is greater tend to be places where fertility is lower.

We then analyzed aggregated data on changes in fertility (using Demographic and Health Surveys from countries with multiple surveys) to assess the contribution of changes in women’s education and other factors to the ongoing fertility transition in the region. These analyses showed clearly that increasing women’s education was a very important factor contributing to
fertility decline, along with reductions in infant and child mortality. The estimates suggest that countries that are able to realize substantial increases in women’s education will correspondingly experience marked declines in fertility. In addition, there was a clear tendency for fertility declines to diminish in magnitude over time, all else being equal.

The third segment of the analyses looked at fertility differentials by educational attainment, with respect to cumulative lifetime fertility (number of children ever born). These differentials were estimated with a finer categorization of women’s schooling than is typically made; most notably, we disaggregated what is most commonly the “secondary and higher” group that is often used in analyses of women’s education in developing countries into four sub-groups. The estimated magnitudes of these differentials depended on what additional variables were taken into account besides age, and diminished somewhat as urban residence and the community level of education were controlled for in the analyses. However, the pattern of these differentials was robust across the different estimates: the greater the educational level of a woman, the lower her fertility relative to women with no schooling, and the magnitude of fertility differences between adjacent education groups increased in absolute value as the education level rose. Again, then, the analyses highlighted the importance of education as a key factor influencing fertility, with the implication that improvements in educational attainment will not only contribute to further fertility decline, but may well do so with an increasing impact as the general level of education rises.

In attempting to assess the implications of these findings for the future of fertility transition in sub-Saharan Africa, the most obvious point to be made is that the extent of progress in improving women’s education will be a key determinant of what happens to fertility. The national-level estimates in the second part of our analyses suggested that a country with a one
standard deviation edge over the mean in improvement of each of the two education variables could expect to experience as much as a 70 percent larger-than-average reduction in its TFR.

As we saw in the descriptive overview, there is substantial variation across countries in average levels of schooling, and the mean level for the 28 countries we examined was just over 4.5 years. Hence, as women’s education continues its long-term rise over time, it will increasingly move into and through the secondary level, where increases in schooling appear to be associated with accelerated declines in fertility. At the same time, however, the analyses of fertility change across surveys found strong evidence that, other things equal, fertility declines tended to diminish over time. If women’s education continues to rise and the former of these two effects dominates, then we can anticipate continued reductions in fertility in sub-Saharan Africa, along the lines projected by the United Nations, whose most recent projections (2011) anticipate a TFR for sub-Saharan Africa of 3.0 by 2050. However, if whatever factors are responsible for the negative time trend in those analyses of fertility decline persist, and/or continuing improvements in women’s education fail to be realized, then it is quite possible that the stalling of fertility transition that has been observed in some countries in the region may become distinctly more prevalent, and the anticipated decline in fertility will not be observed, or may be much slower and smaller than expected.
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Fig. 1. Total Fertility, by Region, 1950-2050

Fig. 2. Total Fertility, Sub-Saharan Africa and its Sub-Regions, 1950-2050

Figure 3. Highest Level of Education Attained, by Region, Women Aged 25 and over (percentages)

Source: United Nations, 2010, Figure 3.6.
Figure 4. Total Fertility Rates and Average Number of Years of Schooling, Women Aged 15-49, Sub-Saharan Africa

Source: Calculated from DHS data for the 28 sub-Saharan nations that have had their most recent DHS in 2000 or later.
Table 1. Regression Analyses of the Decline in the Total Fertility Rate Between Pairs of Surveys, National, Urban/Rural, and Regional Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>Urban/rural</th>
<th>Regional</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in percentage of women with no schooling</td>
<td>-0.0330**</td>
<td>-0.0379**</td>
<td>-0.0378**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in percentage of women with at least secondary education</td>
<td>0.0141*</td>
<td>0.0125**</td>
<td>0.0099*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in infant and child mortalitya</td>
<td>-0.0059**</td>
<td>-0.0031+</td>
<td>-0.0046**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual percentage growth in GDP/head, last 5 years (three-year lag)</td>
<td>-0.0270+</td>
<td>-0.0196</td>
<td>-0.0311**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth in the percentage of women using modern contraception</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>0.0202**</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time trend</td>
<td>-0.0306**</td>
<td>-0.0366**</td>
<td>-0.0361**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.2949**</td>
<td>0.3759**</td>
<td>0.3892**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wald Chi-square</td>
<td>84.32**</td>
<td>105.91**</td>
<td>121.79**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a For the national level, we measure the change in infant mortality with a lag of 0-14 years; for the other two levels, the lag is 0-9 years.

** Significant at the .01 level.
* Significant at the .05 level.
+ Significant at the .10 level.

Estimated via Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) Method

Table 2. Fertility Differentials by Educational Attainment*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment (years)</th>
<th>Controlling for:</th>
<th>Controlling for:</th>
<th>Controlling for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age, age squared</td>
<td>Age, age squared, urban dummy variable</td>
<td>Age, age squared, urban, mean cluster education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>-0.279</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
<td>-0.494</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>-1.055</td>
<td>-0.860</td>
<td>-0.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>-1.658</td>
<td>-1.417</td>
<td>-1.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 and over</td>
<td>-2.360</td>
<td>-2.087</td>
<td>-1.771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.425</td>
<td>-0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean cluster education</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average differential in number of children ever born, relative to women with no schooling, and averages of urban dummy variable and mean cluster education.

Universe: Countries with most recent survey in 2000 or later (n=28).
Table 3. Fertility Differentials by Educational Attainment and by Overall Fertility Level

a. Average differential in number of children ever born, relative to women with no schooling, controlling for age and age squared.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment (years)</th>
<th>TFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>-0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>-0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>-1.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 and over</td>
<td>-2.524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of countries 7 12 9

Universe: Countries with most recent survey in 2000 or later (n=28).

b. Average differential in number of children ever born, relative to women with no schooling, controlling for age and age squared and urban residence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment (years)</th>
<th>TFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>-0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>-0.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>-1.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 and over</td>
<td>-2.318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Average differential in number of children ever born, relative to women with no schooling, controlling for age and age squared, urban residence, and mean cluster education.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational attainment</th>
<th>TFR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-6</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>-0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>-0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-12</td>
<td>-1.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 and over</td>
<td>-2.173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>